# Méthodes et outils issus de la Commande Robuste pour la conception efficace de systèmes

Application à la synthèse fréquentielle de systèmes homogènes interconnectés

Arthur Perodou

Ecole Centrale de Lyon - Laboratoire Ampère

Congrès SAGIP 2024 - Session MOSAR

# Collaborations and publication

Joint work with

- Gérard Scorletti (lab. Ampère)
- Anton Korniienko (lab. Ampère)
- Mykhailo Zarudniev (CEA-LETI)

Publication

 A. Perodou, A. Korniienko, M. Zarudniev and G. Scorletti. Frequency Synthesis of Interconnected Homogeneous LTI Systems. In *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control (IEEE TAC) : Full Papers*, March 2024.



#### Introduction

- Ø Main results in brief
- Conclusion

## Contents

#### Introduction

Problem Motivation Representation and characterization Stability and performance analysis

Main results in brief

Conclusion

## Interconnected homogeneous LTI systems

Increase complexity in engineering problems

- $\rightarrow\,$  Common approach: interconnect simple local systems in a way that fulfills a global objective
- Interconnected systems framework → takes explicitly into account the structure of the interconnection
- ▶ Robust Control framework → tools for efficient design methods
- In this work
  - Homogeneous systems = systems with same model
  - LTI systems
  - Frequency filtering application

## Interconnected homogeneous LTI systems

- Increase complexity in engineering problems
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Common approach: interconnect simple local systems in a way that fulfills a global objective
- ► Interconnected systems framework → takes explicitly into account the structure of the interconnection
- ▶ Robust Control framework → tools for efficient design methods
- In this work
  - Homogeneous systems = systems with same model
  - LTI systems
  - Frequency filtering application





# Motivation by applications



 $\blacktriangleright$  Weights synthesis for decentralized  $\mathscr{H}_\infty\text{-control}$ 



$$\left\|W_{s}(T_{s})(T_{s}I_{N_{s}}\star M)W_{e}(T_{s})\right\|_{\infty} < 1$$

# Representation of the interconnection

Interconnection of n homogeneous LTI systems



Linear Fractionnal Transformation (LFT)

$$H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right] = D + CT(s) (I - AT(s))^{-1} B$$
$$= \frac{b_0 + b_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + b_m \cdot T(s)^m}{a_0 + a_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + a_n \cdot T(s)^n}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Particular case  $T(s) = \frac{1}{s}$ 

## Representation of the interconnection

Interconnection of n homogeneous LTI systems



Linear Fractionnal Transformation (LFT)

$$H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right] = D + CT(s)(I - AT(s))^{-1}B$$
$$= \frac{b_0 + b_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + b_m \cdot T(s)^m}{a_0 + a_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + a_n \cdot T(s)^n}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Particular case  $T(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

## Representation of the interconnection

Interconnection of n homogeneous LTI systems



Linear Fractionnal Transformation (LFT)

$$H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right] = D + CT(s)(I - AT(s))^{-1}B$$
$$= \frac{b_0 + b_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + b_m \cdot T(s)^m}{a_0 + a_1 \cdot T(s) + \dots + a_n \cdot T(s)^n}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Particular case  $T(s) = \frac{1}{s}$ 

# Characterization of the sub-systems

### Definition $({x, y, z}-dissipative system)$

Let x, y, z be real scalars such that  $xz - y^2 < 0$ . Denote  $p_{\mathbb{C}^+}$  the set of poles of T(s) in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ . Then T(s) is said to be  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative if

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  T(s) positive-real if {x, y, z} = {0,1,0}, bounded-real if {x, y, z} = {-1,0,1}

• How to find suitable  $\{x, y, z\}$ ? Graphical test (Nyquist style) on  $T(j\omega)$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ 

Particular cases: lossless dissipative

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



 $\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ 

# Characterization of the sub-systems

### Definition $({x, y, z}-dissipative system)$

Let x, y, z be real scalars such that  $xz - y^2 < 0$ . Denote  $p_{\mathbb{C}^+}$  the set of poles of T(s) in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ . Then T(s) is said to be  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative if

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  T(s) positive-real if {x, y, z} = {0,1,0}, bounded-real if {x, y, z} = {-1,0,1}

• How to find suitable  $\{x, y, z\}$ ? Graphical test (Nyquist style) on  $T(j\omega)$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Particular cases: lossless dissipative

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



 $\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ 

# Characterization of the sub-systems

### Definition $({x, y, z}-dissipative system)$

Let x, y, z be real scalars such that  $xz - y^2 < 0$ . Denote  $p_{\mathbb{C}^+}$  the set of poles of T(s) in  $\mathbb{C}^+$ . Then T(s) is said to be  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative if

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  T(s) positive-real if {x, y, z} = {0,1,0}, bounded-real if {x, y, z} = {-1,0,1}

• How to find suitable  $\{x, y, z\}$ ? Graphical test (Nyquist style) on  $T(j\omega)$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Particular cases: lossless dissipative

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

## Results - Stability analysis

#### Lemma

Consider n homogeneous systems T(s) that are  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

The interconnection  $H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right]$  is internally stable if

$$\exists \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{T}} > 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{P} & \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{P} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{P} & \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

▶ Integrator  $\frac{1}{s}$  such as  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \Rightarrow \exists \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} > 0, A^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}A < 0$ 

## Results - Stability analysis

#### Lemma

Consider n homogeneous systems T(s) that are  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

The interconnection  $H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$  is internally stable if

$$\exists \boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^{\boldsymbol{T}} > 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{P} & \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{P} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{P} & \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ A \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

► Integrator  $\frac{1}{s}$  such as  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \Rightarrow \exists \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{\mathbf{T}} > 0, \ A^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}A < 0$ 

# Results - Performance analysis

Lemma (KYP Lemma)

Consider a stable interconnection  $H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$  of n homogeneous systems T(s) that are  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative:

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Then 
$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$$
  
 $(i) \exists \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{T} > 0,$   
 $-\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{y} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{y} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{z} \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{T} \Psi \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$   
 $(ii) \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H(T(j\omega)) \\ I \end{bmatrix}^{*} \Psi \begin{bmatrix} H(T(j\omega)) \\ I \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$   
 $\rightarrow \text{ Eg - } \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad |H(T(j\omega))|^{2} \le U^{2} \Leftrightarrow \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & U^{2} \end{bmatrix}$ 

# Results - Performance analysis

Lemma (KYP Lemma)

Consider a stable interconnection  $H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$  of n homogeneous systems T(s) that are  $\{x, y, z\}$ -dissipative:

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus p_{\mathbb{C}^+}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(s) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Then 
$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$$
  
 $(i) \exists \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{T} > 0,$   
 $-\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \times \mathbf{P} & y \mathbf{P} \\ y \mathbf{P} & z \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{T} \Psi \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$   
 $(ii) \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} H(T(j\omega)) \\ I \end{bmatrix}^{*} \Psi \begin{bmatrix} H(T(j\omega)) \\ I \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Synthesis:  $\Psi$  as a decision variable

## Contents

#### Introduction

#### Ø Main results in brief

#### Conclusion

## Problem overview

Frequency synthesis of the interconnection of *n* homogeneous systems



ightarrow usual convex approach for frequency filter synthesis not applicable  $ilde{
m ilde{N}}$ 

## Problem overview

Frequency synthesis of the interconnection of *n* homogeneous systems



ightarrow usual convex approach for frequency filter synthesis not applicable  $ilde{
ightarrow}$ 

Problem (Classical frequency filter synthesis - revisited)

Find a stable 
$$H(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s} \cdot I_n\right) \star \left[\frac{A \mid B}{C \mid D}\right]$$
 such that 
$$\begin{cases} \forall \omega \in \Omega_u^U, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \le U_u^2 \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega_L^L, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \ge L_l^2 \end{cases}$$

### Usual Convex Approach

- (i) Magnitude Design  $|H(j\omega)|^2$ 
  - Finite parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2}$
  - KYP Lemmas: LMI feasibility problem
- (ii) Spectral Factorization

 $|H(j\omega)|^2 \to H(s)$ 

Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



Problem (Classical frequency filter synthesis - revisited)

Find a stable 
$$H(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s} \cdot I_n\right) \star \left[\frac{A \mid B}{C \mid D}\right]$$
 such that 
$$\begin{cases} \forall \omega \in \Omega_u^U, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \le U_u^2 \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega_l^L, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \ge L_l^2 \end{cases}$$

### **Usual Convex Approach**

- (i) Magnitude Design  $|H(j\omega)|^2$ 
  - Finite parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2}$
  - KYP Lemmas: LMI feasibility problem
- (ii) Spectral Factorization

 $|H(j\omega)|^2 \to H(s)$ 

Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



Problem (Classical frequency filter synthesis - revisited)

Find a stable 
$$H(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s} \cdot I_n\right) \star \left[\frac{A \mid B}{C \mid D}\right]$$
 such that 
$$\begin{cases} \forall \omega \in \Omega_u^U, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \le U_u^2 \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega_l^L, \ |H(j\omega)|^2 \ge L_l^2 \end{cases}$$

#### **Usual Convex Approach**

- (i) Magnitude Design  $|H(j\omega)|^2$ 
  - Finite parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2}$
  - KYP Lemmas: LMI feasibility problem
- (ii) Spectral Factorization

 $|H(j\omega)|^2 \to H(s)$ 

Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$



Problem (LFT frequency filter synthesis)

Find a stable 
$$H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$$
 s.t. 
$$\begin{cases} \forall \omega \in \Omega_u^U, \ |H(T(j\omega))|^2 \le U_u^2 \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega_l^L, \ |H(T(j\omega))|^2 \ge L_l^2 \end{cases}$$

### Extended Convex Approach

- (i) Magnitude Design  $|H(T(j\omega))|^2 \checkmark$ 
  - Finite parametrization

$$|H(T(j\omega))|^{2} = \frac{|H_{N}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}{|H_{D}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}$$

- KYP Lemmas: LMI feasibility problem
- (ii) Spectral Factorization 🗸

 $|H(T(j\omega))|^2 \to H(T(s))$ 

Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE)

$$T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$T(s) \cdot I$$

$$A \mid B$$

Problem (LFT frequency filter synthesis)

Find a stable 
$$H(T(s)) = (T(s) \cdot I_n) \star \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right] s.t. \begin{cases} \forall \omega \in \Omega_u^U, \ |H(T(j\omega))|^2 \le U_u^2 \\ \forall \omega \in \Omega_l^I, \ |H(T(j\omega))|^2 \ge L_l^2 \end{cases}$$

### Extended Convex Approach

- (i) Magnitude Design  $|H(T(j\omega))|^2 \checkmark$ 
  - Finite parametrization

 $|H(T(j\omega))|^{2} = \frac{|H_{N}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}{|H_{D}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}$ 

► KYP Lemmas: LMI feasibility problem

(ii) Spectral Factorization 🗡

 $|H(T(j\omega))|^2 \to H(T(s)) + E(T(s))$ 

### Generalized Convex Approach 🗸

Error management

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$T(s) \cdot I$$



### (i) Magnitude design

► Parametrization 
$$|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_N \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_D \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}$$

Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

 $\frac{\mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}} \mathcal{B}(j\omega)}{\mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{D}} \mathcal{B}(j\omega)} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \left( U^2 \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{D}} - \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}} \right) \mathcal{B}(j\omega) \geq 0$ 

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}} \\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \left( U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{D} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) [C \quad D] \ge 0$$

Solve 
$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_{N}(s)$  such that  

$$F(j\omega)^{*} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=0} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}})F(j\omega) + \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^{*} \mathbf{X}_{N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega) = |H_{N}(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- (i) Magnitude design
  - ► Parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_N \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_D \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}$

Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

 $\frac{\mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_N} \mathcal{B}(j\omega)}{\mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_D} \mathcal{B}(j\omega)} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{B}(j\omega)^* \left( U^2 \boldsymbol{X_D} - \boldsymbol{X_N} \right) \mathcal{B}(j\omega) \geq 0$ 

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}}\\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \left( U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) [C \quad D] \ge 0$$

Solve 
$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_{N}(s)$  such that  

$$F(j\omega)^{*} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=0} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}})F(j\omega) + \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^{*} \mathbf{X}_{N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega) = |H_{N}(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- (i) Magnitude design
  - ► Parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_N \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_D \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}$
  - ► Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

$$\frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_D} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \left( U^2 \boldsymbol{X_D} - \boldsymbol{X_N} \right) \mathscr{B}(j\omega) \geq 0$$

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}} \\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Solve 
$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_{N}(s)$  such that  

$$F(j\omega)^{*} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=0} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}})F(j\omega) + \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^{*} \mathbf{X}_{N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega) = |H_{N}(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- (i) Magnitude design
  - ► Parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_N \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_D \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}$
  - Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

$$\frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_D} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \left( U^2 \boldsymbol{X_D} - \boldsymbol{X_N} \right) \mathscr{B}(j\omega) \geq 0$$

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}} \\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \left( U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) [C \quad D] \ge 0$$

Solve 
$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_{N}(s)$  such that  

$$F(j\omega)^{*} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=0} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}})F(j\omega) + \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^{*}\mathbf{X}_{N}\mathscr{B}(j\omega) = |H_{N}(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- (i) Magnitude design
  - ► Parametrization  $|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{|H_N(j\omega)|^2}{|H_D(j\omega)|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_N \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* X_D \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}$
  - ► Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

$$\frac{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_N} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)}{\mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \boldsymbol{X_D} \mathscr{B}(j\omega)} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^* \left( U^2 \boldsymbol{X_D} - \boldsymbol{X_N} \right) \mathscr{B}(j\omega) \geq 0$$

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \quad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}} \\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \left( U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) [C \quad D] \ge 0$$

Solve 
$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_{N}(s)$  such that  

$$F(j\omega)^{*} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{j\omega} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=0} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_{R}})F(j\omega) + \mathscr{B}(j\omega)^{*} \mathbf{X}_{N}\mathscr{B}(j\omega) = |H_{N}(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- (i) Magnitude design
  - ► Parametrization  $|H(T(j\omega))|^2 = \frac{|H_N(T(j\omega))|^2}{|H_D(T(j\omega))|^2} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^* X_N \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}{\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^* X_D \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}$
  - Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

 $\frac{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega))^* \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega))}{\mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega))^* \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega))} \leq U^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega))^* \left( U^2 \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{T}(j\omega)) \geq 0$ 

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem

$$\exists \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{T}} > 0, \qquad -\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{\mathbf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbf{y} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \\ \mathbf{y} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} & \mathbf{z} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{\mathbf{T}} \\ D^{\mathbf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \left( U^{2} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{N}} \right) [C \quad D] \ge 0$$

(ii) Spectral Factorization

Solve  $ARE(\mathbf{P}_{N_R}) = 0 \Rightarrow$  stable  $H_N(T(s))$  such that  $\underbrace{F(T(j\omega))^* \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(j\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (-\mathbf{P}_{N_R})F(T(j\omega)) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^* \mathbf{X}_N \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega)) = |H_N(T(j\omega))|^2}_{E_N(T(j\omega), \mathbf{P}_{N_R})}$ 

14 / 19

# Generalized approach - Error management from scratch

### (i) Magnitude design

Parametrization

 $|H(T(j\omega))|^{2} = \frac{|H_{N}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}{|H_{D}(T(j\omega))|^{2}} = \frac{E_{N}(T(j\omega), P_{N_{R}}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}X_{N}\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}{E_{D}(T(j\omega), P_{D_{R}}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}X_{D}\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}$ 

Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

$$\frac{E_{N}(T(j\omega), \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{N}_{R}}) + \mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}}\mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))}{E_{D}(T(j\omega), \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{D}_{R}}) + \mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{D}}\mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))} \leq U^{2}$$

KYP Lemmas - LMI feasibility problem + ARE(P<sub>Nn</sub>) = 0

(ii) Spectral Factorization

Solve  $ARE(P_{N_R}) = 0 \Rightarrow$  stable  $H_N(T(s))$  such that

 $E_N(T(j\omega), \mathbf{P}_{N_R}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^* \mathbf{X}_N \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega)) = |H_N(T(j\omega))|^2$ 

# Generalized approach - Error management from scratch

### (i) Magnitude design

Parametrization

 $|H(T(j\omega))|^{2} = \frac{|H_{N}(T(j\omega))|^{2}}{|H_{D}(T(j\omega))|^{2}} = \frac{E_{N}(T(j\omega), P_{N_{R}}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}X_{N}\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}{E_{D}(T(j\omega), P_{D_{R}}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}X_{D}\mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))}$ 

Find X<sub>N</sub>, X<sub>D</sub> such that

$$\frac{E_{N}(T(j\omega), \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{N}_{R}}) + \mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{N}}\mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))}{E_{D}(T(j\omega), \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{D}_{R}}) + \mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))^{*}\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{D}}\mathcal{B}(T(j\omega))} \leq U^{2}$$

- $+ ARE(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{R}}}) = 0$
- (ii) Spectral Factorization

Solve 
$$ARE(P_{N_R}) = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 stable  $H_N(T(s))$  such that

 $E_N(T(j\omega), \mathbf{P}_{N_R}) + \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega))^* \mathbf{X}_N \mathscr{B}(T(j\omega)) = |H_N(T(j\omega))|^2$ 

## Link ARE and LMI

$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{R}) = 0$$

$$A^{T}(-\mathbf{P}_{R}) + (-\mathbf{P}_{R})A + C^{T}XC - (-\mathbf{P}_{R}B + C^{T}XD)(D^{T}XD)^{-1}(-\mathbf{P}_{R}B + C^{T}XD)^{T} = 0$$

• Minimal solution  $P_R^{min}$  computable by LMI resolution:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{P}{\min} \quad \text{trace } P \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^T \\ D^T \end{bmatrix} X \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

► Heuristic : replace AREs by LMIs

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\boldsymbol{P}_{N},\boldsymbol{P}_{D}} & \operatorname{trace} \boldsymbol{P}_{N} + \operatorname{trace} \boldsymbol{P}_{D} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{P}_{N} \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{N} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{T} \\ D^{T} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{N} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{P}_{D} \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{D} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{T} \\ D^{T} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{D} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \\ \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{P}_{N}, \boldsymbol{P}_{D}, \boldsymbol{X}_{N}, \boldsymbol{X}_{D}, \boldsymbol{P}_{i}) \ge 0 \end{array}$$

## Link ARE and LMI

$$ARE(\mathbf{P}_{R}) = 0$$

$$A^{T}(-\mathbf{P}_{R}) + (-\mathbf{P}_{R})A + C^{T}XC - (-\mathbf{P}_{R}B + C^{T}XD)(D^{T}XD)^{-1}(-\mathbf{P}_{R}B + C^{T}XD)^{T} = 0$$

• Minimal solution  $P_R^{min}$  computable by LMI resolution:

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{P}{\min} \quad \text{trace } P \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^T \\ D^T \end{bmatrix} X \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Heuristic : replace AREs by LMIs

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\boldsymbol{P}_{N},\boldsymbol{P}_{D}} & \operatorname{trace} \boldsymbol{P}_{N} + \operatorname{trace} \boldsymbol{P}_{D} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{P}_{N} \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{N} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{T} \\ D^{T} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{N} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \\ - \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{P}_{D} \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{D} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C^{T} \\ D^{T} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{D} \begin{bmatrix} C & D \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 \\ \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{P}_{N}, \boldsymbol{P}_{D}, \boldsymbol{X}_{N}, \boldsymbol{X}_{D}, \boldsymbol{P}_{i}) \ge 0 \end{array}$$

## Illustration





With and without factorization error management





## Contents

#### Introduction

Main results in brief

### Conclusion

## To sum up

 Synthesis of the interconnection of homogeneous LTI systems for frequency filtering



- Contributions based on Robust Control methods and tools
  - $\rightarrow$  Original problem formulation
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Revisit, extend and generalize the usual convex approach for frequency filter synthesis
- ▶ Main remaining issue: solve coupled AREs ← solve convex problem?